

2019 Pesticide Surface Water Monitoring Report



Jerry Sauter
Pesticide and Fertilizer Division
North Dakota Department of Agriculture



Commissioner Doug Goehring

Acknowledgements

The North Dakota Department of Agriculture thanks Mike Ell from the North Dakota Department of Health and Joel Galloway from the United States Geological Survey for their assistance in coordinating this project. Sampling was performed by Paul Olson and Mike Hargiss from the North Dakota Department of Health and Kevin Baker, Rochelle Nustad, Ernest McCoy, and Christopher Broz from the United States Geological Survey. The North Dakota Department of Agriculture also thanks Jona Verreth and the staff at Montana State University's Agriculture Experiment Station Analytical Laboratory for sample analysis and logistics.

The Department also thanks the Water Quality Advisory Committee for its input and advice.

The Committee consists of the following state and federal agencies:

ND Department of Health

ND Department of Parks and Recreation

ND Game and Fish Department

ND Geological Survey

ND State University Extension Service

ND State Water Commission

US Department of Agriculture-NRCS

US Fish and Wildlife Service

US Geological Survey

SUMMARY

The North Dakota Department of Agriculture, working in cooperation with the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality's Division of Water Quality and the U.S. Geological Survey completed a surface water monitoring project in 2019 to assess levels of pesticides and pesticide degradates in North Dakota rivers and streams. Thirty sites were sampled approximately six times from April through October, resulting in a total of 184 river and stream samples collected. Each sample was analyzed for 102 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. The Department utilized the Montana State University Agriculture Experiment Station's Analytical Laboratory for sample analysis. Of all the river and stream analyses, there was a total of 2,372 (12.6%) detections, of which 119 (0.6%) were notable and 1,266 (6.7%) instances when an analyte was deemed present, but below the laboratory detection limit. The most commonly detected pesticide was atrazine, which was detected in 97% of samples and was found present, but below the detection limit, in the remaining samples. Other commonly detected pesticides were 2,4-D, bentazon, and metolachlor.

Based on the levels detected, results indicate that pesticides in North Dakota's rivers and streams have minimal risk to human health or the environment. Because there were detections, the survey supports the need for regular comprehensive monitoring of surface water for pesticides to monitor pesticide levels, continually assess risks of pesticides to human health and the environment and identify long term trends.

INTRODUCTION

The North Dakota Department of Agriculture (hereafter "Department") is the lead pesticide regulatory agency in the state through the authority provided in Chapters 4.1-33 and 4.1-34 of the North Dakota Century Code. Under a cooperative agreement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Department is charged with regulating pesticides in the public's interest to ensure that they do not pose a risk of unreasonable adverse effects to human health or the environment. Before 2007, the Department's Pesticide Water Quality Program (hereafter "Program") was focused on those pesticides that posed a risk of contaminating groundwater. The Department has had a committee in place for over a decade to advise them on groundwater issues and establish a groundwater monitoring program. Agencies represented on the committee include the ND Department of Health (NDDH), US Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service, ND State University Extension Service, US Geological Survey (USGS), ND Geological Survey and the ND State Water Commission.

The Program has since expanded its water quality focus to include surface water. To reflect this expansion, the Groundwater Working Committee has been renamed the Water Quality Advisory Committee (WQAC) and now also includes representatives from the US Fish and Wildlife Service, ND Game and Fish Department, and the ND Parks and Recreation Department.

Identifying pesticide surface water issues is a priority for the Department and the WQAC. Before the first pilot monitoring project in 2006, no agency routinely monitored North Dakota's surface waters for pesticides. The pilot monitoring project coordinated between the Department and the NDDH was conducted in 2006. Eleven sites were sampled twice from late June through August

and tested for 63 different pesticides. Results showed one detection of picloram at a concentration of 0.23 parts per billion (ppb), which was below any level of concern established by the EPA for human health or aquatic life.

The Department, working in cooperation with the NDDH's Division of Water Quality, resumed a surface water monitoring survey in 2008 for pesticides and pesticide degradates. Nine sample sites in three different North Dakota basins (Sheyenne, Souris, and Yellowstone Rivers) were sampled and tested for 184 different pesticides and pesticide degradates every three weeks from April through October. A total of nine pesticides and one pesticide degradate were detected. The most commonly detected pesticides in 2008 were the herbicides 2,4-D and diuron. For all but one pesticide, concentrations were below levels deemed harmful by the EPA. Diuron was found in the Souris River in 2008 at concentrations that could be harmful to aquatic life, specifically green algae (Orr and Gray, 2009).

The pesticide water quality monitoring program received an increase in funding in 2009 and because of this, a later start date, and a six-week sampling schedule instead of a three-week schedule, the program was able to dramatically expand the number of sites sampled and make the program truly state-wide to represent every major North Dakota river basin. The 2009 sampling program consisted of sampling and testing 29 sites every six weeks for 180 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. Because the detections during the 2008 monitoring project were not found until June, the WQAC recommended 2009 sampling start in June and end in November. There was a total of eleven detections of four different pesticides, including atrazine, bentazon, dimethenamid, and MCPA. The most commonly detected pesticides were the herbicides atrazine and bentazon which were detected four and three times, respectively. MCPA and dimethenamid were each detected twice. Concentrations of all pesticides were below levels deemed harmful by the EPA (Johnson and Gray, 2010).

The funding increase continued into 2010 and sampling sites were chosen from the NDDH's Ambient River and Stream Water Quality Monitoring Program sites to make sampling most efficient. Thirty-three sites were sampled every six weeks from April to October of 2010 and tested for 180 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. There was a total of 43 detections of 9 different pesticides, including 2,4-D; atrazine; bentazon; bifenthrin; clopyralid; dicamba; diuron; MCPA; and metolachlor. The most commonly detected pesticide in 2010 was bentazon, which was detected 22 times. Metolachlor and 2,4-D were each detected four times. For all pesticides, concentrations were below levels deemed harmful by the EPA (Johnson and Gray, 2011).

In 2011, funding was directed to a wetland pesticide monitoring project. Due to staffing shortage, no monitoring was performed by the Department in 2012.

Monitoring of rivers and streams resumed in 2013. Sampling sites were chosen from the NDDH's Ambient River and Stream Water Quality Monitoring Program to make sampling most efficient. Thirty sites were sampled approximately seven times from April to October and tested for 99 pesticides and pesticide degradates. There were 30 notable detections of 6 different pesticides including 2,4-D; acetochlor; atrazine; dimethenamid; diuron; and metolachlor. The most commonly detected pesticide was atrazine followed by 2,4-D (Sauter and Gray, 2014).

In 2014, river and stream monitoring continued and was similar in design to 2013. In 2014, targeted sampling was also performed in addition to monthly sampling. Targeted sampling consisted of higher frequency sampling during heaviest pesticide use times at select sites that had a history of high detections. Because of targeted sampling, there were more detections in 2014 than any previous year. There were 50 notable detections of 6 pesticides including acetochlor, atrazine, bromoxynil, chlorpyrifos, malathion, and metolachlor. The most commonly detected pesticide was atrazine followed by 2,4-D (Sauter and Gray, 2015).

Also in 2014, the NDDH and USGS provided an opportunity to sample lakes throughout North Dakota for pesticides. This project consisted of collecting and analyzing samples from 27 lakes throughout the state, one time during mid to late summer. These samples were analyzed by Montana State University Agriculture Experiment Station's Analytical Laboratory for 96 pesticides and pesticide degradates. There were two notable detections of chlorpyrifos and one notable detection of atrazine. Similar to river and stream results, atrazine and 2,4-D were the most commonly detected pesticides (Sauter and Gray, 2015).

In 2015, river and stream monitoring continued and was similar in design to 2014. Thirty sites were sampled approximately six times from April through October resulting in a total of 178 river and stream samples collected. Each sample was analyzed for 101 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. There were 29 notable detections of three pesticides including acetochlor, atrazine and terbufos sulfone. The most commonly detected pesticides were atrazine; 2,4-D, bentazon and metolachlor (Sauter, 2016).

In 2016, river and stream monitoring continued and was similar in design to 2015. Thirty sites were sampled approximately six times from April through October, and four additional sites were sampled either at random or as part of follow-up sampling, resulting in a total of 196 river and stream samples collected. Each sample was analyzed for 102 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. There were 51 notable detections of seven pesticides including 2,4-D, acetochlor, atrazine, chlorpyrifos, metolachlor, metsulfuron methyl and sulfometuron methyl. The most commonly detected pesticides were atrazine; 2,4-D, bentazon and prometon (Sauter, 2017).

In 2017, river and stream monitoring continued and was similar in design to 2016. Thirty sites were sampled approximately six times from April through October with one site being sampled additional times as part of follow-up sampling, resulting in a total of 180 river and stream samples collected. Each sample was analyzed for 102 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. There were 48 notable detections of four pesticides including atrazine, acetochlor, malathion and metolachlor. The most commonly detected pesticides were atrazine; 2,4-D, bentazon and metolachlor. (Sauter, 2018).

In 2018, river and stream monitoring continued and was similar in design to 2017. Thirty sites were sampled approximately six times from April through October, resulting in a total of 175 river and stream samples collected. Each sample was analyzed for 102 different pesticides and pesticide degradates. There were 85 notable detections of six pesticides including acetochlor,

atrazine, clothianidin, diuron, imidacloprid and metolachlor. The most commonly detected pesticides were atrazine; 2,4-D, bentazon and metolachlor. (Sauter, 2019).

2019 Project goals

The goals of the 2019 monitoring study were to:

- Determine the occurrence and concentration of pesticides in North Dakota rivers and streams
- Identify trends in pesticide contamination to guide regulatory activities
- Determine whether any pesticides may be present at concentrations that could adversely affect human health, aquatic life, or wildlife dependent on aquatic life
- Evaluate levels of certain neonicotinoid insecticides in North Dakota Rivers and streams

The Department will also use the monitoring data as part of its cooperative agreement with the EPA. Under that agreement, the Department has committed to evaluate a pre-defined list of national and local pesticides of interest that may pose a risk to water quality. The Department is required to demonstrate that any risks are appropriately managed. Results may also be used by the Endangered Species Protection Program and evaluations for pesticide registrations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pesticide samples were collected approximately six times in 2018 at thirty sites from April through October. Locations of the sampling sites, site IDs, and GPS coordinates can be found in Table 1 and Figure 1. Sample collection dates can be found in Table 2. Samples were scheduled to be collected once per month in April, May, June, July, August, and October. Realistically, dates were variable and dependent on weather and staffing. The 2018 pesticide surface water sampling program featured good representation of North Dakota's rivers and streams and correlated well with the heaviest pesticide use period.

Site name, site ID, time and date were recorded in the field on a sample log form (Appendix A). River and stream samples for pesticide analysis were collected in the main current below the surface at a depth of approximately 60 percent of the total water depth. This depth was chosen for sample collection as it is assumed to be representative of the entire stream. Samples were collected using weighted bottle samplers (WBSs) or by wading the site. A WBS consists of a stainless steel or fiberglass tube that is approximately seven inches long and four inches inside diameter, which is connected to a rope. Each pesticide sample bottle was filled by placing the sample bottle in the WBS and lowering the WBS into the water from a bridge. The WBS was lowered into the stream at a point where the stream is approximately at its greatest depth in the cross section. The WBS was then lowered to a depth equal to approximately 60 percent of the total stream depth. When the bottle was filled completely (i.e., no bubbles observed) the WBS and bottle were retrieved. The bottle was capped, removed from the WBS, labeled, and placed in a cooler on ice until shipment. When necessary, wadeable grab samples were collected by wading into the stream. When the sample was collected by wading, the stream was entered slightly down current from the sampling point and then the sampler waded to the area with the greatest current. The sample bottle was then submerged to approximately 60 percent of the stream depth; the cap removed, and the bottle was allowed to fill facing towards the current,

allowing it to fill naturally. Once the bottle was filled, the cap was replaced prior to removing the bottle from the stream. The samples were carefully packed with bubble wrap and/or rubber mesh and put into a cooler with ice and more packing materials shortly after collection. Coolers containing samples and ice were shipped to the laboratory within seven days of collection using a next-day shipping service.

Each pesticide sample consisted of one, 1-L amber glass jar with a cap featuring a 1/8” PTFE-faced silicone seal. Sample bottles arrived precleaned according to EPA procedure 1 methods for extractable organic, semivolatile, and pesticide analysis. Selected field samples were collected in replicate to provide estimates of sample variability. The replicates consisted of one separate sample collected directly after the original sample was collected. Field blank samples were also collected by each sampling entity twice during the season. Field blanks consisted of blank water received from the NDDH’s Laboratory Division. The blank water was received in 1-L amber glass bottles with Teflon lined lids. At the time of sampling, the blank water was poured into a sampling bottle, the lid was placed on the bottle, and the bottle was labeled and placed in a cooler with ice.

Each sample was analyzed for 102 different pesticides and pesticide degradates (Appendix B) by Montana State University’s Agriculture Experiment Station Analytical Laboratory. Montana’s laboratory developed a customized method titled the MTUniversal method. This method was initially developed to analyze samples for their groundwater monitoring program, but it also fit this project. The method is modeled after the successful USDA PDP Water Survey Program which uses the analytical approach to universalize one method to capture as many compounds as possible at the lowest possible levels with a broader range of acceptable performance. The method is validated according to the requirements of the MT 2008 EPA QAPP.

Table 1. 2019 North Dakota pesticide surface water monitoring project sites.

NDDoH Site ID	Site Name	Latitude	Longitude
380009	Sheyenne River near Cooperstown, ND	47.4328	-98.0276
380012	James River at Lamoure, ND	46.3555	-98.3045
380013	James River at Jamestown, ND	46.8897	-98.6817
380022	Little Missouri River at Medora, ND	46.9195	-103.5282
380031	Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	46.4680	-96.7837
380037	Turtle River at Manvel, ND	48.0786	-97.1845
380039	Forest River at Minto, ND	48.2858	-97.3681
380059	Little Missouri River nr Watford City, ND	47.5958	-103.2630
380067	Cannonball River at Breien, ND	46.3761	-100.9344
380077	Cedar Creek nr Raleigh, ND	46.0917	-101.3337
380083	Red River at Brushville, MN	46.3695	-96.6568
380087	Knife River at Hazen, ND	47.2853	-101.6221
380091	Souris River nr Sherwood	48.9900	-101.9582
380095	Souris River nr Verendrye, ND	48.1597	-100.7296
380105	Cannonball River nr Raleigh, ND	46.1269	-101.3332
380151	Heart River nr Mandan, ND	46.8339	-100.9746
380156	Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	47.4094	-97.0612

Table 1. 2019 North Dakota pesticide surface water monitoring project sites (continued).


380157	Park River at Grafton, ND	48.4247	-97.4120
380158	Pembina River at Neche, ND	48.9897	-97.5570
380160	Heart River nr Richardton, ND	46.7456	-102.3083
380161	Souris River above Minot, ND	48.2458	-101.3713
384130	James River nr Grace City, ND	47.5581	-98.8629
384131	Knife River nr Golden Valley, ND	47.1545	-102.0599
384155	Maple River below Mapleton, ND	46.9052	-97.0526
384156	Red River at Grand Forks, ND	47.9275	-97.0281
384157	Red River at Pembina, ND	48.9769	-97.2376
385001	Sheyenne River near Kindred, ND	46.6316	-97.0006
385040	Red River nr Harwood, ND	46.9770	-96.8202
385055	Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	46.1522	-96.5789
385168	Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	46.4469	-97.6793
385414	Red River at Fargo, ND	46.8611	-96.7837
386013	Red River nr Georgetown, MN	47.0927	-96.8170

Table 2. 2019 North Dakota pesticide river and stream monitoring sample collection dates.

	Site ID			Sampling Date		
380009	4/23/2019	5/30/2019	6/19/2019	7/17/2019	9/5/2019	10/31/2019
380012	4/24/2019	5/29/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/28/2019
380013	4/24/2019	5/29/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/28/2019
380022	4/9/2019	5/20/2019	6/10/2019	7/22/2019	9/3/2019	10/16/2019
380031	4/24/2019	5/7/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/15/2019
380037	4/25/2019	5/21/2019	6/10/2019	7/8/2019	8/12/2019	10/7/2019
380039	4/25/2019	5/21/2019	6/10/2019	7/8/2019	8/12/2019	10/7/2019
380059	4/9/2019	5/20/2019	6/10/2019	7/22/2019	9/3/2019	10/16/2019
380067	4/8/2019	5/21/2019	6/11/2019	7/17/2019	8/5/2019	10/17/2019
380077	4/8/2019	5/21/2019	6/11/2019	7/17/2019	8/5/2019	10/17/2019
380083	4/25/2019	5/7/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/28/2019
380087	4/9/2019	5/20/2019	6/10/2019	7/22/2019	9/3/2019	10/16/2019
380091	5/1/2019	5/15/2019	6/4/2019	7/16/2019	8/7/2019	10/8/2019
380095	4/30/2019	5/14/2019	6/6/2019	7/16/2019	8/6/2019	10/7/2019
380105	4/8/2019	5/21/2019	6/11/2019	7/17/2019	8/5/2019	10/17/2019
380151	4/8/2019	5/20/2019	6/11/2019	7/17/2019	8/5/2019	10/17/2019
380156	4/23/2019	5/14/2019	6/11/2019	7/23/2019	8/13/2019	10/23/2019
380157	4/25/2019	5/21/2019	6/10/2019	7/8/2019	8/12/2019	10/7/2019
380158	4/24/2019	5/7/2019	6/3/2019	7/1/2019	8/14/2019	10/8/2019
380160	4/8/2019	5/20/2019	6/10/2019	7/22/2019	9/3/2019	10/16/2019
380161	5/1/2019	5/15/2019	6/5/2019	7/17/2019	8/8/2019	10/8/2019
384130	4/23/2019	5/30/2019	6/19/2019	7/17/2019	9/5/2019	10/31/2019
384131	4/9/2019	5/20/2019	6/10/2019	7/22/2019	9/3/2019	10/16/2019

384155	4/24/2019	5/6/2019	6/19/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/15/2019
384156	4/22/2019	5/15/2019	6/18/2019	7/22/2019	8/12/2019	10/9/2019
384157	4/24/2019	5/7/2019	6/3/2019	7/1/2019	8/14/2019	10/8/2019
385001	4/24/2019	5/6/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/17/2019
385040			6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	
385055	4/23/2019	5/8/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/28/2019
385168	4/24/2019	5/29/2019	6/18/2019	7/16/2019	9/4/2019	10/28/2019
385414	4/23/2019	5/14/2019	6/11/2019	7/23/2019	8/13/2019	10/17/2019
386013						10/16/2019

Figure 1. 2019 pesticide surface water sampling sites.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

River and stream sites

A total of 184 samples were analyzed for 102 different pesticides. Of the 102 pesticides analyzed, 70 different pesticides were present in at least one of the samples. Several pesticides were present in a high percentage of the samples as indicated in Table 3. Atrazine, 2,4-D and bentazon were present in over 70% of the samples collected. Although these pesticides were present in 70% or more of samples collected, a high percentage of the detections were well below levels that may negatively impact aquatic ecosystems or human health.

Table 3. Common pesticides detected in North Dakota surface waters in 2019.

Analyte	Quantifiable detections		Qs (Present below reporting limit)		Total samples with quantifiable detections and Qs	
	Number	Percent of all samples	Number	Percent of all samples	Number	Percent of all samples
Atrazine	180	98	4	2	184	100
Deethyl atrazine	179	97	5	3	184	100
2,4-D	146	79	28	15	174	95
Hydroxy atrazine	153	83	17	9	170	92
Bentazon	133	72	9	5	142	77
Tebuconazole	63	34	79	43	142	77
Propiconazole	52	28	87	47	139	76
Metolachlor ESA	122	66	16	9	138	75
Prometon	78	42	43	23	121	66
Pyrasulfotole	43	23	72	39	115	63
Acetochlor OA	104	57	2	1	106	58
Metolachlor OA	74	40	32	17	106	58
Sulfentrazone	68	37	38	21	106	58
Imazapyr	64	35	39	21	103	56
Acetochlor ESA	90	49	12	7	102	55
Imazethapyr	80	43	20	11	100	54
Dimethenamid	69	38	27	15	96	52
Saflufenacil	51	28	45	24	96	52
Dimethenamid OA	78	42	17	9	95	52
Metolachlor	72	39	21	11	93	51
Deisopropyl atrazine	12	7	67	36	79	43
MCPA	31	17	41	22	72	39
Tebuthiuron	34	18	37	20	71	39
Imidacloprid	58	32	12	7	70	38
Tetraconazole	38	21	28	15	66	36

Clothianidin	20	11	43	23	63	34
Imazamox	18	10	42	23	60	33

Data were compared to EPA established aquatic life benchmark (ALB) values and human health maximum contaminant level (MCL) values. Detections at 20% or more of the lowest of either of these values were further reviewed. There were 119 detections of pesticides at or above these levels as detailed in Table 4.

Table 4. Detections that were 20% or more of lowest ALB or MCL.

Detections that were 20% or more of lowest ALB or MCL			
Chemical	Number of detections	Range of detections (PPB)	Lowest ALB or MCL (PPB)
Acetochlor	2	0.29-0.91	1.43
Atrazine	20	0.22-1.5	1
Chlorpyrifos	1	0.12	0.04
Clothianidin	20	0.016-0.12	0.05
Halosulfuron methyl	1	0.025	0.042
Imidacloprid	55	0.002-0.1	0.01
Metolachlor	19	0.2-10	1
Phorate sulfone	1	0.061	0.21

There were 23 sites in which these chemicals were found at 20% or more of an ALB or MCL (Figure 2).

Within the Red River basin, the Park River sampled at Grafton, ND had twelve pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine (two detections), chlorpyrifos, clothianidin, imidacloprid (five detections), metolachlor (two detections) and phorate sulfone. The Bois de Sioux River sampled near Doran, MN had twelve detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine, clothianidin (five detections), imidacloprid (four detections) and metolachlor (two detections). The Wild Rice River sampled near Abercrombie, ND had eleven detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine (two detections), clothianidin (two detections), imidacloprid (five detections) and metolachlor (two detections). The Red River at Pembina had ten detections; the pesticides detected were acetochlor, clothianidin (three detections), imidacloprid (four detections) and metolachlor (two detections). The Maple River below Mapleton, ND had eight pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were acetochlor, atrazine, clothianidin, imidacloprid (four detections) and metolachlor. The Red River sampled at Fargo, ND had eight detections; atrazine, clothianidin, imidacloprid (four detections) and metolachlor (two detections). The Red River at Grand Forks, ND had eight detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine (two detections), clothianidin, imidacloprid (three detections) and metolachlor (two detections). The Goose River sampled at Hillsboro, ND had eight pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine, clothianidin, halosulfuron methyl, and imidacloprid (five detections). The

Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND had seven pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine (two detections), imidacloprid (three detections) and metolachlor (two detections). The Red River sampled near Harwood, ND had five pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine, clothianidin (two detections), imidacloprid and metolachlor. The Red River at Brushvale, MN had four detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine, clothianidin, imidacloprid and metolachlor. The Sheyenne River near Kindred, ND had four pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine (two detections), imidacloprid and metolachlor. The Turtle River at Manvel, ND had one imidacloprid detection. The Forest River sampled at Minto, ND had one imidacloprid detection. The Pembina River at Neche, ND had one clothianidin detection. The Red River sampled near Georgetown, MN had one imidacloprid detection.

Within the James River Basin, the James River at Lamoure, ND had five pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine, imidacloprid (three detections) and metolachlor. The James River at Jamestown, ND had two imidacloprid detections. The James River near Grace City, ND had two imidacloprid detections.

Within the other river basins in North Dakota, the Souris River near Verendrye, ND had four pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine (two detections) and imidacloprid (two detections). The Souris River above Minot, ND had four pesticide detections; the pesticides detected were atrazine, clothianidin and imidacloprid (two detections). The Cannonball River near Raleigh, ND had one imidacloprid detection.

The 119 pesticide detections at concentrations of 20% or more of the lowest ALB were spread throughout the growing season with most detections occurring in July (Figure 3). In April and May, there were several detections of clothianidin and imidacloprid. In June, acetochlor, atrazine, chlorpyrifos were each detected once and clothianidin, imidacloprid and metolachlor were detected multiple times at levels 20% or more of the lowest ALB. July had detections of acetochlor, atrazine, clothianidin, halosulfuron methyl, imidacloprid, metolachlor and phorate sulfone. There were multiple detections of atrazine, clothianidin, imidacloprid and metolachlor in August and September. In October, clothianidin was detected twice and imidacloprid was detected sixteen times above 20% of an ALB.

Figure 3. Detections at 20% or more of the lowest ALB or MCL by month samples were collected.





Figure 2. Sampling sites where pesticides were detected at 20% or more of lowest ALB.



Looking at values at or above 20% of an ALB is a very conservative means to filter data and does not automatically indicate significant risk to aquatic ecosystems or human health. In looking for levels that may pose risk, results were further reviewed to identify instances in which an ALB or MCL had been exceeded (Tables 4 & 5). The most conservative ALBs and MCLs which are displayed below, are based on long-term exposure to a pesticide and are discussed in detail below.

ALB discussion

The EPA has established ALBs for several chemicals, relying on studies required under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as well as a wide range of environmental, laboratory, field studies, and modeling available in published scientific literature. ALBs, which are based on the most sensitive toxicity endpoint for a given taxa, are estimates of the concentrations below which pesticides are not expected to harm aquatic life. ALBs are typically based on continuous exposure over a window of time, such as 96 hours or more depending on the study. EPA-established ALBs are guidance for states to use and are not regulatory thresholds. NDDA sampling consists of one grab sample, so essentially it is one point in time and is difficult to correlate with a true ALB. In most cases, the Department could compare the concentration detected in surface water to the EPA-established ALB as a reference. Any value that exceeded an ALB constitutes an indication of exceedance and does not constitute a true exceedance, as samples collection methods are variable for each ALB.

Table 4. Detections indicating an aquatic life benchmark (ALB) was met or exceeded.

Site Name	Sample Date	Analyte	Level (ppb)	ALB
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	7/16/2019	Atrazine	1.4	1
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	1.6	1
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	8/13/2019	Imidacloprid	0.017	0.01
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	7/16/2019	Atrazine	1.5	1
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.01	0.01
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	7.4	1
Park River at Grafton, ND	6/10/2019	Chlorpyrifos	0.12	0.04
Park River at Grafton, ND	6/10/2019	Clothianidin	0.12	0.05
Park River at Grafton, ND	6/10/2019	Imidacloprid	0.1	0.01
Park River at Grafton, ND	6/10/2019	Metolachlor	10	1
Red River at Fargo, ND	7/23/2019	Metolachlor	1.3	1
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	7/22/2019	Metolachlor	3.5	1
Red River nr Harwood, ND	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	1.7	1
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.011	0.01
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	4.4	1

Risk from ALB and MCL Exceedance

Atrazine

Atrazine, a broadleaf herbicide used primarily on corn, was present in 2% (4) of samples and quantifiably detected in 98% (180) of samples. Of those detections, 20 were at 20% or more of an MCL or ALB. Atrazine detections indicated an MCL was met or exceeded twice with values of 1.4 and 1.5 ppb. The Refined Ecological Risk Assessment for Atrazine (Environmental Protection Agency 2016) discusses risk from atrazine to the environment. This document lists the most sensitive aquatic endpoint for atrazine at less than 1.0 ppb. This value is based on a study performed in 1976 that demonstrated a 67% reduction in chlorophyll production in green algae over a seven-day exposure period (Torres and O'flaherty 1976). The EPA risk assessment states average atrazine concentrations in water at or above 5.0 ppb for several weeks are predicted to lead to reproductive effects in fish, while a 60-day average of 3.4 ppb has a high probability of impacting aquatic plant community primary productivity, structure, and function. The highest concentrations detected were 1.4 ppb and 1.5 ppb at different sites. In both instances, the samples collected before and after were well below 1.0 ppb indicating minimal, short term risk. No aquatic impacts were noted by samplers or were reported to the NDDA by any other entities. Although there was ALB exceedance it is important to note that out of 184 samples, atrazine was only found above an ALB twice. Notable atrazine detections were lower in 2019 (2) compared to 2018 (9) however, it is still one of the most commonly detected pesticides in rivers and streams. These sites will continue to be monitored closely and if funding allows, targeted sampling will be performed.

Chlorpyrifos

Chlorpyrifos is used to control insect pests on various crops grown in North Dakota. It was detected one time in 2019 at 0.12 ppb, which is three times higher than the lowest ALB. There is no MCL established for chlorpyrifos. The Registration Review-Preliminary Problem Formulation for Ecological Risk and Environmental Fate, Endangered Species and Drinking Water Assessments for Chlorpyrifos (Environmental Protection Agency 2008) lists the lowest toxicity endpoint (the no observed adverse effect concentration (NOAEC)) at 0.04 ppb for chronic freshwater invertebrates. Further review indicates the lowest concentration that is lethal to 50% of test organisms (LC₅₀) is 0.10 ppb (EPA 2008). The highest concentration detected was 0.12 ppb which is 1.2 times higher than the LC₅₀. Samples collected before and after this chlorpyrifos detection resulted in non-detections of chlorpyrifos, signifying the detection was short lived and posed minimal risk. This was also the only time chlorpyrifos was detected or present at any site in 2019. Monitoring will continue in the future to further assess risk.

Clothianidin

Clothianidin is used primarily as a seed treatment on corn, soybeans and small grains. There are also products containing clothianidin which allow foliar uses for ornamental and turf, golf courses and a select few agricultural commodities. Clothianidin was detected at 20% or more of an ALB twenty times and exceeded an ALB once in 2019. The Transmittal of the Preliminary Aquatic and Non-Pollinator Terrestrial Risk Assessment to Support Registration Review for Clothianidin (Environmental Protection Agency 2017) discusses risk from clothianidin to the environment. This document lists the most sensitive aquatic endpoint for clothianidin at 0.05 ppb. This value is the lowest observable adverse effect concentration (LOAEC) for chronic

freshwater invertebrates. This study performed testing of clothianidin concentrations on midges (*C. dilutes*) over a period of 40 days. At concentrations of 0.05 ppb, effects noted were reduced growth rates compared to the control. The highest concentration detected was 0.12 ppb which is 2.4 times higher than the lowest ALB. The sample collected before and after this detection indicated clothianidin was present below the reporting limit. Assuming a worst-case scenario, it is possible that midge populations were impacted at and near this site, however no aquatic impacts were noted by samplers or were reported to the NDDA by any other entities. Although there was an ALB exceedance it is important to note that out of 184 samples, clothianidin was only found above an ALB once. It is also important to note that EPA updated the ALB for clothianidin in 2018. In previous years, the lowest ALB for clothianidin was 1.1 ppb or 9 times higher than the highest detection in 2019.

Imidacloprid

Imidacloprid is primarily used as a seed treatment on corn, soybeans, small grains and some oilseed crops in North Dakota. Other products containing imidacloprid allow for various uses on ornamental and turf, golf courses, select agricultural commodities and on pets. Imidacloprid was detected at 20% or more of an ALB 55 times, four of which exceeded an ALB in 2019. The Preliminary Aquatic Risk Assessment to Support the Registration Review of Imidacloprid discusses risk from imidacloprid to aquatic ecosystems (Environmental Protection Agency 2017). This document lists the most sensitive aquatic endpoint for imidacloprid at 0.01 ppb for the no observed adverse effect concentration (NOAEC) and 0.03 ppb for the LOAEC for mayfly (*C. horaria*) for chronic effects (28-day study). The highest concentration detected was 0.1 which is three times higher than the LOAEC. Samples collected before and after the four ALB exceedances were all under the ALB indicating risk was short in duration. Like clothianidin, EPA updated the ALB for imidacloprid in 2018. In previous years, the lowest ALB for imidacloprid was 1.05 ppb, which is significantly higher than the highest detection in 2019.

Metolachlor

Metolachlor is used primarily on corn in North Dakota for grass and broadleaf weed control. Metolachlor and metolachlor degradates were detected or present in as high as 75% of samples. Metolachlor was detected at or above an ALB seven times in 2019. The Registration Review Problem Formulation for Metolachlor and S-Metolachlor (Environmental Protection Agency 2014) discusses risk from metolachlor to aquatic ecosystems. The lowest EPA established ALB is 1 ppb for chronic risk to invertebrates and is based on the lowest no observed adverse effects concentration (NOAEC) from a life-cycle test with water flea (*Daphnia magna*). The lowest observed adverse effects concentration (LOAEC) for water flea is 10 ppb. The highest concentration detected was 7.4 ppb which is over the NOAEC but below the LOAEC. This indicates minimal risk to aquatic ecosystems. There is no EPA MCL for metolachlor.

Additional Neonicotinoid discussion

As neonicotinoid insecticides continue to gain attention and discussions about prevalence in the environment become more common, it is important to discuss them as part of river and stream sampling. In 2008 and 2009, samples were analyzed for imidacloprid, and analysis for clothianidin was added in 2010. Since 2013, ND river and stream samples have been analyzed for the neonicotinoid insecticides clothianidin, imidacloprid, and thiamethoxam. Compared to herbicides such as atrazine and 2,4-D, neonicotinoids are not as frequently detected. When

detections do occur, they are at low levels. In 2019, clothianidin was detected twenty times and present below the reporting limit in 28 samples; the highest detection was 0.12 ppb. Imidacloprid was detected in 58 samples and present below the reporting limit in 12 samples; the highest detection was 0.1 ppb. Thiamethoxam was detected four times and present below the reporting limit 35 times with the highest detection being 0.12 ppb; the lowest ALB for thiamethoxam is 0.74 ppb. Out of the 184 samples collected, only twice was a neonicotinoid detected above the lowest aquatic LOAEC. In 2019, there were more neonicotinoid detections than in years past, but almost all detections were below levels that may affect the most sensitive aquatic invertebrate test species. River and stream sampling indicate neonicotinoid insecticides under current uses do not pose significant risk to river and stream ecosystems in North Dakota.

Conclusion

Results of the 2019 monitoring study indicate that pesticides were found at similar levels in 2019 to those found in 2018. Trends over the last few years shows certain pesticides are consistently found in North Dakota rivers and streams. In 2019 there were 15 detections that indicated MCL and/or ALB had been exceeded, this is a very small percentage of samples. Overall, detections ranged widely in level and frequency based on the pesticide, with a very large percentage being below the laboratory's reporting limits.

The need for continued sampling is of utmost importance not only to continue to ensure rivers and streams in ND are safe, but also to identify trends and build on the existing data set. Levels of atrazine, acetochlor, 2,4-D, metolachlor, prometon, tebuconazole, and bentazon need to continue to be monitored. These pesticides are present in a high percentage of samples and occasionally approach levels that may begin to impact aquatic ecosystems. Detections of imidacloprid have increased over the last few years and 2018 research indicated it is toxic at lower levels than previously thought. It is imperative to monitor, and if necessary, implement risk mitigation before significant impacts to human health or the environment happen. Mitigation measures could include increased use inspections focused on specific pesticides, increased user education and compliance assistance, and site-specific or chemical-specific use restrictions.

Comparisons of river and stream data from 2008-2019 showed a few potential trends. Atrazine continues to be found in a high percentage of samples, which is not surprising given the large-scale use and its chemical properties. Atrazine is also the most common pesticide found at higher levels, especially in the eastern third of the state. This is also not surprising, since atrazine is predominantly used on corn, which was planted on a large number of acres in the Red River Valley. In addition, use of atrazine and other herbicides has likely increased due to the expansion in acres infested with glyphosate-resistant weeds. Another trend across all years of data reveals the highest number of detections comes from samples collected in June through August. This is to be expected, as most of the pesticides detected are pre-emergence herbicides which are typically applied around planting and can take several weeks to move into surface water. In 2019, there were more notable neonicotinoid detections than in previous years. Neonicotinoid use patterns have likely been the same for many years, so it is important to monitor and see if detections increase for these chemicals.

This project is the only state-wide comprehensive surface water monitoring project for pesticides in North Dakota. As laboratory testing capabilities improve, more data will be available leading

to a better understanding of pesticide movement and aquatic ecosystem health in North Dakota. Resources permitting, the Department will continue to work with its state and federal partners to monitor surface water for pesticides to ensure that pesticides are not negatively impacting water resources. These data are also effective in demonstrating the effectiveness of current approaches and to improve risk assessment quality. If impairments of rivers are found, these can be addressed through education and if necessary, regulation. This mix of compliance assistance and regulatory oversight has been shown to be highly effective, especially when supported by sound data.

REFERENCES

- Environmental Protection Agency. (2008). *Registration Review-Preliminary Problem Formulation for Ecological Risk and Environmental Fate, Endangered species and Drinking Water Assessments for Chlorpyrifos*.
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0850-0007>
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2014). *Registration Review Problem Formulation for Metolachlor and S-Metolachlor*.
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0772-0002>
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2017). *Transmittal of the Preliminary Aquatic and Non-Pollinator Terrestrial Risk Assessment to Support Registration Review for Clothianidin*.
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0865-0242>
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2017). *Preliminary Aquatic Risk Assessment to Support the Registration Review of Imidacloprid discusses risk from imidacloprid to aquatic ecosystems*.
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0844-1086>
- Johnson, J.N., Gray, J.A. 2010. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2009. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>
- Johnson, J.N., Gray, J.A. 2011. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2010. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>
- NDDH. 2009. Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Ambient River and Stream Water Quality Monitoring Program. North Dakota Department of Health, Division of Water Quality, Bismarck, North Dakota.
- Orr, J.N., Gray, J.A. 2009. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2008. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>
- Sauter J. D., Gray, J.A. 2014. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2013. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>
- Sauter J. D., Gray, J.A. 2015. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2014. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>
- Sauter J. D. 2016. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2015. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>

Sauter J. D. 2017. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2016. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>

Sauter J. D. 2018. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2017. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>

Sauter J. D. 2019. Surface Water Pesticide Monitoring and Assessment Project, 2018. North Dakota Department of Agriculture,
<http://www.nd.gov/ndda/program/pesticide-water-quality-program>

Sauter, J.D., 2017. Quality Assurance Plan for the Pesticide Water Quality Monitoring Program. North Dakota Department of Agriculture, unpublished.

Torres, A.M.R. and L.M. O’Flaherty. 1976. Influence of pesticides on *Chlorella*, *Chlorococcum*, *Stigeoclonium* (Chlorophyceae), *Tribonema*, *Vaucheria* (Xanthophyceae) and *Oscillatoria* (Cyanophyceae). *Phycologia* 15(1):25-36.

Appendix A. Sample identification record.



Sample Identification Record
North Dakota Department of Health Telephone: 701.328.6140
Division of Laboratory Services—Chemistry Fax: 701.328.6280

Preservation:	Temperature:
Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	
Initials:	

Sample Collection/Billing Information						
Account #	Project Code:		Project Description:			
Collected By:						
Analyte Groups:			Collection Method:		Matrix: Water	
For Laboratory Use Only Lab ID:	Site ID:		Site Description:		Temp.	DO
	Date Collected:	Time Collected:	Depth (m):	Comments:	SC	pH
	Site ID:		Site Description:		Temp.	DO
	Date Collected:	Time Collected:	Depth (m):	Comments:	SC	pH
	Site ID:		Site Description:		Temp.	DO
	Date Collected:	Time Collected:	Depth (m):	Comments:	SC	pH
	Site ID:		Site Description:		Temp.	DO
	Date Collected:	Time Collected:	Depth (m):	Comments:	SC	pH
	Site ID:		Site Description:		Temp.	DO
	Date Collected:	Time Collected:	Depth (m):	Comments:	SC	pH
	Site ID:		Site Description:		Temp.	DO
	Date Collected:	Time Collected:	Depth (m):	Comments:	SC	pH

Appendix B. List of analytes and reporting limits.

List of analytes and reporting limits in 2018			
Analyte	Common Trade Names*	Type	Reporting Limit (ppb)
2,4-D	2,4-D, Curtail	H	0.009
Acetochlor	Surpass, Harness	H	0.14
Acetochlor ESA	degrade	D	0.02
Acetochlor OA	degrade	D	0.0084
Alachlor	Intrro, Lariat, Lasso	H	0.11
Alachlor ESA	degrade	D	0.044
Alachlor OA	degrade	D	0.0068
AMBA (mesotrione metabolite)	degrade	D	0.021
Aminocyclopyrachlor	Method, Perspective	H	0.025
Aminopyralid	Cleanwave	H	0.03
Atrazine	Aatrex	H	0.0022
Azoxystrobin	Quadris	F	0.0052
Bentazon	Basagran	H	0.0022
Bromacil	Hyvar, Bromax	H	0.0041
Bromoxynil	Huskie, Buctril	H	0.012
Carbaryl	Sevin, Savit	I	0.014
Chlorpyrifos	Lorsban, Dursban	I	0.06
Chlorsulfuron	Finesse, Glean	H	0.0056
Clodinafop acid	Discover NG	H	0.013
Clopyralid	Stinger, Curtail	H	0.088
Clothiandin	Poncho	I	0.016
Deethyl atrazine	degrade	D	0.0017
Deethyl Deisopropyl Atrazine (DEDIA)	degrade	D	0.1
Deisopropyl atrazine	degrade	D	0.04
Dicamba	Banvel	H	0.88
Difenoconazole	CruiserMaxx, InspireF	H	0.011
Dimethenamid	Outlook	H	0.006
Dimethenamid OA	degrade	D	0.0072
Dimethoate	Cygon, Roxion	I	0.0022
Disulfoton sulfone	degrade	D	0.0066
Diuron	Direx, Karmex	H	0.0053
Fluoroethyldiaminotriazine (FDAT)	degrade	D	0.0051
Fipronil	Regent	I	0.0024
Fipronil desulfinyl	degrade	D	0.14
Fipronil sulfide	degrade	D	0.08
Fipronil sulfone	degrade	D	0.04
Flucarbazone	Everst, Prepare	H	0.0024
Flucarbazone sulfonamide (FSA)	degrade	D	0.0039
Flumetsulam	Python	H	0.029

Appendix B. List of analytes and reporting limits (continued).

List of analytes and reporting limits in 2018			
Analyte	Common Trade Names*	Type	Reporting Limit (ppb)
Flupyradifurone	Sivanto	I	0.045
Fluroxypyr	Starane	H	0.035
Glutaric Acid	degrade	D	0.03
Hydroxy atrazine	degrade	D	0.004
Halosulfuron methyl	Permit	H	0.01
Hexazinone	Velpar	H	0.0015
Imazamethabenz methyl acid metabolite (IMAM)	degrade	D	0.0025
Imazamethabenz methyl ester (IME)	degrade	D	0.001
Imazamox	Raptor, Beyond	H	0.0057
Imazapic	Plateau	H	0.003
Imazapyr	Imazapyr, Lineage	H	0.0035
Imazethapyr	Authority Assist, Pursuit	H	0.004
Imidacloprid	Touchstone PF	I	0.0018
Indaziflam	Alion, Specticle	H	0.002
Isoxaben	Gallery, Snapshot	H	0.003
Isoxaflutole	Corvus, Balance Flexx	H	0.13
Malathion	Malathion, Cythion	I	0.028
Malathion oxon	degrade	D	0.0024
MCPA	MCP	H	0.0046
MCPP	Encore, Trimec	H	0.0044
Metalaxyl	Hi-Yield, Ridomil	F	0.0035
Methomyl	Lannate	I	0.012
Methoxyfenozide	Intrepid	I	0.01
Metolachlor	Dual Magnum	H	0.024
Metolachlor ESA	degrade	D	0.005
Metolachlor OA	degrade	D	0.042
Metsulfuron methyl	Ally, Cimarron	H	0.01
Nicosulfuron	Accent, Steadfast	H	0.011
NOA 407854 (Pinoxaden metabolite)	degrade	D	0.0052
NOA 447204 (Pinoxaden metabolite)	degrade	D	0.02
Norflurazon	Solicam	H	0.02
Norflurazon desmethyl	degrade	D	0.02
Oxamyl	Vydate	I	0.01
Parathion methyl oxon	degrade	D	0.012
Phorate sulfone	degrade	D	0.024
Phorate sulfoxide	degrade	D	0.003
Picloram	Tordon	H	0.28
Picoxystrobin	Approach	F	0.0075
Prometon	Pramitol	H	0.001

Appendix B. List of analytes and reporting limits (continued).

List of analytes and reporting limits in 2018			
Analyte	Common Trade Names*	Type	Reporting Limit (ppb)
Propiconazole	Banner, Tilt, Radar	F	0.01
Prosulfuron	Peak, Spirit	H	0.005
Pyrasulfatole	Huskie, Wolverine	H	0.02
Pyroxsulam	GR1, Powerflex	H	0.013
Saflufenacil	Sharpen	H	0.01
Simazine	Princep	H	0.0026
Sulfentrazone	Spartan	H	0.035
Sulfometuron methyl	Lineage, Oust	H	0.0025
Sulfosulfuron	Maverick, Outrider	H	0.0054
Tebuconazole	Folicur	F	0.014
Tebuthiuron	Spike	H	0.0011
Tembotrione	Capreno, Laudis	H	0.073
Terbacil	Sinbar	H	0.0048
Terbufos sulfone	degrade	D	0.011
Tetraconazole	Domarck, Eminent	F	0.0039
Thiamethoxam	CruiserMaxx, Meridian	I	0.02
Thiencarbazone methyl	Corvus, Huskie Complete	H	0.04
Thifensulfuron	Supremacy Harmony	H	0.022
Tralkoxydim	Achieve	H	0.0051
Tralkoxydim acid	degrade	D	0.005
Triallate	Far-Go	H	0.3
Triasulfuron	Dally, Rave	H	0.0055
Tricolpyr	Garlon	H	0.022
Trifloxystrobin	Compass, Stratego	F	0.02

*Common trade names do not represent all trade names containing an active ingredient. Trade names chosen are for example purposes only and this list is not endorsing or making any recommendations.

H=Herbicide; I=Insecticide; F=Fungicide; D=Degrade (breakdown product)

Appendix C. List of detections that were 20% or more of an aquatic life benchmark (continued).

Site Name	Site ID	Sample Date	Analyte	Level (ppb)	ALB (ppb)
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	4/23/2019	Clothianidin	0.024	0.05
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	4/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0043	0.01
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	5/8/2019	Clothianidin	0.022	0.05
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	5/8/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0021	0.01
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	7/16/2019	Atrazine	1.4	1
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	7/16/2019	Clothianidin	0.019	0.05
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0028	0.01
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	1.6	1
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	9/4/2019	Clothianidin	0.027	0.05
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	9/4/2019	Metolachlor	0.2	1
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	10/28/2019	Clothianidin	0.016	0.05
Bois de Sioux River near Doran, MN	385055	10/28/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0022	0.01
Cannonball River nr Raleigh, ND	380105	6/11/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0047	0.01
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	4/23/2019	Clothianidin	0.029	0.05
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	4/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0058	0.01
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	6/11/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0022	0.01
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	7/23/2019	Atrazine	0.31	1
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	7/23/2019	Halosulfuron methyl	0.025	0.042
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	7/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0057	0.01
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	8/13/2019	Imidacloprid	0.017	0.01
Goose River at Hillsboro, ND	380156	10/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0023	0.01
James River at Jamestown, ND	380013	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0024	0.01
James River at Jamestown, ND	380013	10/28/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0026	0.01
James River at Lamoure, ND	380012	4/24/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0025	0.01
James River at Lamoure, ND	380012	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.75	1
James River at Lamoure, ND	380012	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.006	0.01
James River at Lamoure, ND	380012	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	0.54	1
James River at Lamoure, ND	380012	10/28/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0025	0.01
James River nr Grace City, ND	384130	4/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0062	0.01
James River nr Grace City, ND	384130	10/31/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0044	0.01
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	4/24/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0028	0.01
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	5/6/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0024	0.01
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	7/16/2019	Acetochlor	0.29	1.43
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	7/16/2019	Atrazine	1.5	1
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	7/16/2019	Clothianidin	0.035	0.05
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.01	0.01
Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	7.4	1

Maple River below Mapleton, ND	384155	10/15/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0046	0.01
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	4/24/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0024	0.01
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	6/10/2019	Atrazine	0.28	1
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	6/10/2019	Chlorpyrifos	0.12	0.04
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	6/10/2019	Clothianidin	0.12	0.05
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	6/10/2019	Imidacloprid	0.1	0.01
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	6/10/2019	Metolachlor	10	1
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	7/8/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0051	0.01
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	7/8/2019	Phorate sulfone	0.061	0.21
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	8/12/2019	Atrazine	0.48	1
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	8/12/2019	Imidacloprid	0.002	0.01
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	8/12/2019	Metolachlor	0.58	1
Park River at Grafton, ND	380157	10/7/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0029	0.01
Pembina River at Neche, ND	380158	4/24/2019	Clothianidin	0.016	0.05
Red River at Brushville, MN	380083	4/25/2019	Clothianidin	0.018	0.05
Red River at Brushville, MN	380083	4/25/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0032	0.01
Red River at Brushville, MN	380083	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.78	1
Red River at Brushville, MN	380083	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	0.95	1
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	4/23/2019	Clothianidin	0.033	0.05
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	4/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0061	0.01
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	6/11/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0025	0.01
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	7/23/2019	Atrazine	0.66	1
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	7/23/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0025	0.01
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	7/23/2019	Metolachlor	1.3	1
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	8/13/2019	Metolachlor	0.29	1
Red River at Fargo, ND	385414	10/17/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0032	0.01
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	4/22/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0033	0.01
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	7/22/2019	Atrazine	0.76	1
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	7/22/2019	Clothianidin	0.016	0.05
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	7/22/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0052	0.01
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	7/22/2019	Metolachlor	3.5	1
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	8/12/2019	Atrazine	0.35	1
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	8/12/2019	Metolachlor	0.35	1
Red River at Grand Forks, ND	384156	10/9/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0045	0.01
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	4/24/2019	Clothianidin	0.024	0.05
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	4/24/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0055	0.01
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	5/7/2019	Clothianidin	0.02	0.05
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	5/7/2019	Imidacloprid	0.004	0.01
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	6/3/2019	Acetochlor	0.91	1.43
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	6/3/2019	Clothianidin	0.024	0.05

Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	6/3/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0086	0.01
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	6/3/2019	Metolachlor	0.38	1
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	8/14/2019	Metolachlor	0.51	1
Red River at Pembina, ND	384157	10/8/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0042	0.01
Red River nr Georgetown, MN	386013	10/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.003	0.01
Red River nr Harwood, ND	385040	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.87	1
Red River nr Harwood, ND	385040	7/16/2019	Clothianidin	0.019	0.05
Red River nr Harwood, ND	385040	7/16/2019	Clothianidin	0.019	0.05
Red River nr Harwood, ND	385040	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0042	0.01
Red River nr Harwood, ND	385040	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	1.7	1
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	4/24/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0021	0.01
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.35	1
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0021	0.01
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	0.3	1
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	9/4/2019	Atrazine	0.32	1
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	9/4/2019	Metolachlor	0.31	1
Sheyenne River at Lisbon, ND	385168	10/28/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0022	0.01
Sheyenne River near Kindred, ND	385001	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.54	1
Sheyenne River near Kindred, ND	385001	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0037	0.01
Sheyenne River near Kindred, ND	385001	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	0.88	1
Sheyenne River near Kindred, ND	385001	9/4/2019	Atrazine	0.28	1
Souris River above Minot, ND	380161	7/17/2019	Atrazine	0.25	1
Souris River above Minot, ND	380161	7/17/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0046	0.01
Souris River above Minot, ND	380161	10/8/2019	Clothianidin	0.019	0.05
Souris River above Minot, ND	380161	10/8/2019	Imidacloprid	0.004	0.01
Souris River nr Verendrye, ND	380095	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.82	1
Souris River nr Verendrye, ND	380095	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0089	0.01
Souris River nr Verendrye, ND	380095	8/6/2019	Atrazine	0.22	1
Souris River nr Verendrye, ND	380095	10/7/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0029	0.01
Turtle River at Manvel, ND	380037	4/25/2019	Imidacloprid	0.002	0.01
Turtle River at Manvel, ND	380037	10/7/2019	Imidacloprid	0.002	0.01
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	4/24/2019	Clothianidin	0.016	0.05
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	4/24/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0045	0.01
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	5/7/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0031	0.01
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	6/18/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0032	0.01
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	7/16/2019	Atrazine	0.83	1
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	7/16/2019	Clothianidin	0.035	0.05
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	7/16/2019	Imidacloprid	0.011	0.01
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	7/16/2019	Metolachlor	4.4	1
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	9/4/2019	Atrazine	0.32	1
Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	9/4/2019	Metolachlor	0.24	1

Wild Rice River near Abercrombie, ND	380031	10/15/2019	Imidacloprid	0.0066	0.01
---	--------	------------	--------------	--------	------